Effect of stimulated processes on the Hanle signal. Investigation of the total signal intensity
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We study the effects of absorption (amplification) and four-wave mixing on the total fluorescence intensity of atoms excited by a monochromatic wave of arbitrary intensity in a constant magnetic field. We study scattering by optically thick and optically thin atomic beams, and by an optically thin beam in a high-Q cavity. These investigations are carried out for the \( J=0 \rightarrow J=1 \) transition with the pump wave propagating perpendicular to the magnetic field (Voigt geometry). Differences in the description of the Hanle effect in the Voigt and Faraday (pump wave propagation parallel to the magnetic field parallel) geometries are studied. The characteristics of the Hanle effect for the transition \( J=1 \rightarrow J=0 \) are also discussed. © 1995 American Institute of Physics.

1. INTRODUCTION

The depolarization of radiation scattered by atoms in a magnetic field was discovered by Hanle 70 years ago, and it is the subject of many theoretical and experimental investigations (see Ref. 3). This phenomenon makes it possible to measure efficiently the constants of spectral lines in gases, and it is used to study the properties of the interaction of radiation with matter, and it is closely related to the transfer of polarized radiation and other questions in optics and spectroscopy.

Most theoretical investigations of the Hanle effect have been performed for low (nonsaturating) intensities of the radiation exciting the atoms. In Refs. 7 and 8 we presented a description unconstrained by this approximation, i.e., one that is valid for arbitrary radiation intensities. The investigation was performed for the Voigt geometry, in which the magnetic field is perpendicular to the propagation direction of the exciting radiation and its linear polarization vector (see Fig. 1). It was assumed that the pump wave is monochromatic and is scattered by an atomic beam, so that transitions occur between the ground state with \( J=0 \) and the excited states with \( J=1 \). In this geometry, the Hanle signal is determined by radiation that is linearly polarized in the \( x \) direction and scattered in the \( y \) or \( z \) direction. In Ref. 7, spectra of the Hanle signal for a pump wave scattered by an optically thin atomic beam were investigated. In this case the signal is determined by the fluorescence of the atoms, and it was shown, just as in the case of Mollow’s resonance fluorescence spectrum, that the spectrum of the Hanle signal contains both an elastic (coherent) component and an inelastic (shifted) component. In strong (saturating) fields, the inelastic component, whose spectrum contains up to seven components, is dominant. In Ref. 8, the effect of induced processes on the spectrum of the Hanle signal for pump-wave scattering by optically thick and optically thick atomic beams passing through a high-Q cavity was investigated. It was shown that the interference terms arising in the description of the Hanle effect can be regarded as a type of four-wave interaction.

In many experimental investigations of the Hanle effect, it is not the spectrum of the scattered radiation that is studied, but rather the total intensity of the spectrum, i.e., the integral over the spectrum. The present paper is a continuation of the investigations initiated in Ref. 8, and is devoted to a study of the effects of stimulated processes on the total intensity of the Hanle signal.

Just as in Ref. 8, two model experimental situations (see Fig. 1) are studied: scattering of the pump wave by an optically thin beam in a cavity (not shown in Fig. 1), and by an optically thick atomic beam. In addition, we discuss the properties of the forward-scattered radiation and the differences in the description of the Hanle effect between the Voigt geometry studied in Refs. 7 and 8 and the Faraday geometry (in which the pump wave propagates parallel to the magnetic field). The \( J=0 \rightarrow J=1 \) atomic transition is the simplest atomic system in which the Hanle effect is observed, and it corresponds to a V-type interaction between the polarized radiation and the atoms. It is also of interest to study the properties of the Hanle effect for the \( J=1 \rightarrow J=0 \) transition, which corresponds to a A-type interaction. In the last section, we consider how results obtained in Refs. 7 and 8 change when our description is applied to this transition. The experimental and theoretical results presented in Ref. 5 are also discussed.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE HANLE EFFECT

The results of Refs. 7, 8 and 10, which were obtained on the basis of the Scully–Lamb atom–photon density matrix formalism in the rotating-wave and dipole–interaction approximations, are used to describe the Hanle effect.

The correlation functions that arise in the description of the scattered radiation \( \rho (\sigma \sigma' \sigma' \sigma) = \langle a a^\dagger a a^\dagger \rangle \) and \( \langle a^\dagger a \rangle \) \((\sigma \sigma' \sigma' \sigma)\). Here \( P \) is the photon density operator and \( a \) and \( a^\dagger \) are creation and annihilation operators for photons with wave vector \( k \), and frequency \( \omega \). The index \( \sigma \) indicates an interaction with the corresponding \( \sigma \) transition of the atomic subsystem. For circularly polarized photons a plus sign in the index indicates left-hand polariza-
FIG. 1. Possible experimental layout for observing the Hanle effect. The vector $H$ specifies the direction of the magnetic field, and $A_1$ and $A_2$ are atomic beams, and $D_1$ and $D_2$ are scattered-radiation detectors.

The equations of motion for the photon occupation numbers and for the quantum correlation functions of photons with different polarization take the form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} n = Dn + A,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where

$\begin{align*}
 n & = \begin{pmatrix} n_+ & n_0 & n_- \\ n_0 & n_0 & n_0 \\ n_- & n_0 & n_+ \end{pmatrix}, \\
 A & = \begin{pmatrix} A_{++} & A_{++} & A_{+,+} \\ A_{+,+} & A_{00} & A_{-,+} \\ A_{-,+} & A_{00} & A_{++,} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 D & = \begin{pmatrix} A_{+,+} & A_{+,+} & A_{+,+} \\ A_{00} & A_{00} & A_{00} \\ A_{-,+} & A_{+,+} & A_{++,} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 A_{+,+} & = 0 \beta_0 \beta_0, \\
 \beta_0 & = \beta_0 + \alpha \alpha, \\
 D_{+,+} & = 0 \beta_0 \beta_0, \\
 \beta_0 & = \beta_0 + \alpha \alpha, \\
 \alpha & = \text{angle between the projection of } e \text{ on the } xz \text{ plane and the } x \text{ axis.}
\end{align*}$

Here $A_{++} = \alpha^{++}, A_{00} = \alpha^{00}; A_{-,+} = \alpha^{-,+}$, and $Q$ is the cavity quality factor for a given mode. In the case of radiative scattering in free space, the coefficient $\alpha^*$ is replaced by $\alpha$.

The coefficients $A$ and $B$ are defined in Refs. 7 and 8, and are functions of the frequency differences $\Delta_1 = \omega_0 - \omega_1$, and $\nu = \omega - \omega_1$, where $\omega_0$ is the transition frequency and $\omega_1$ is the frequency of the monochromatic laser wave. They also depend on the spontaneous relaxation rate $\gamma$, the strength of the magnetic field, and the intensity of the pump wave. The influence of these factors is governed by the Lambor frequency $\Omega$ and the Rabi frequencies $\nu$, respectively. Here $\nu = -\mu_{\text{eff}} F E / 2 \hbar$, where $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ is the dipole matrix element for the $|0\rangle - |\sigma\rangle$ transition (the vector $|0\rangle$ describes the lower state of the atom, while $|\sigma\rangle$ describes the upper state, which is $|+\rangle$ for $m_\sigma = +1$ or $|\rangle$ for $m_\sigma = -1$); the sum of $E_\parallel$ and $E_\perp$ gives the intensity of the electric field of the pump wave. In the calculations we assume that $|V_\perp| = |V_\parallel|$. The quantities $\omega_{\sigma}$ describe the spontaneous sources, whose properties were investigated in Ref. 9. The coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$ characterize stimulated processes—radiative absorption and four-wave mixing—whose properties were investigated in Ref. 8.

The equations (1) make it possible to describe the Hanle effect, i.e., the effect of a magnetic field on the polarization characteristics of fluorescence in the system shown in Fig. 1. The Hanle signal is determined by the occupation numbers of photons with linear $x$-polarization, and the fluorescence spectrum is accordingly determined by $\omega_{\sigma}$. Using the relation between the Cartesian and circular unit vectors and the corresponding photon creation and annihilation operators, we obtain the following relations for the photon occupation numbers:

$$n_1 = n_1 + n_{-1} - (\alpha_1^+ a_1) - (\alpha_1^- a_1),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$n_2 = n_1 + n_{-1} + (\alpha_1^+ a_1) + (\alpha_1^- a_1).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The $x$-polarized photons are observed along the $y$ axis and the $y$-polarized photons are observed along the $z$ axis (see Fig. 1). In the latter case, to avoid the Doppler effect, the atomic beam must be directed along the $x$ axis.

The general expressions for the number $n_1$ of photons that are linearly polarized in the plane containing the $y$ axis and the vector $e$, and the number $n_2$ of photons whose linear polarization vector is orthogonal to this plane, take the form

$$n_1 = n_1 + n_{-1} (1 - \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi) + (\sin^2 \theta - \cos \cos \theta) \times ((\alpha_1^+ a_1) + (\alpha_1^- a_1)) + \sin 2 \theta \cos \phi ((\alpha_1^+ a_1) - (\alpha_1^- a_1)), \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

$$n_2 = n_1 + n_{-1} - (\alpha_1^+ a_1) - (\alpha_1^- a_1) \cos^2 \theta. \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

Here the vector $e$ determines the direction of photon scattering, $\theta$ is the angle between $e$ and the $y$ axis, and $\phi$ is the angle between the projection of $e$ on the $xz$ plane and the $x$ axis.

For optically thin media, in which stimulated processes can be neglected, it follows from Eq. (1) that $n_1 = \omega_{\sigma} \alpha_{\sigma}$, $\alpha_{\sigma} a_{\sigma} = \alpha_{\sigma} a_{\sigma}$. Substituting these relations into Eqs. (2) and (3), we obtain

$$n_1 = n_1 + n_{-1} (1 - \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi) + (\sin^2 \theta - \cos \cos \theta) \times ((\alpha_1^+ a_1) + (\alpha_1^- a_1)) + \sin 2 \theta \cos \phi ((\alpha_1^+ a_1) - (\alpha_1^- a_1)), \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

$$n_2 = n_1 + n_{-1} - (\alpha_1^+ a_1) - (\alpha_1^- a_1) \cos^2 \theta. \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

These spectra were investigated in detail in Ref. 7.

The total intensity of the Hanle signal is given by

$$S_{\gamma} = \omega_{\sigma} = \int n_1 d\nu. \hspace{1cm} (8)$$
Using the properties of the $\sigma_{\alpha \alpha'}$, 
\[ \int \sigma_{\alpha \alpha'} \, dv \propto \rho_{\alpha \alpha'}, \tag{9} \]
we obtain
\[ S_\alpha = p_{\alpha+} + p_{\alpha-} - p_{\alpha-} - p_{\alpha+}, \tag{10} \]
\[ S_\alpha = p_{\alpha+} + p_{\alpha-} + p_{\alpha+} + p_{\alpha-}. \tag{11} \]
Here $\rho_{\alpha \alpha'}$ are the components of the atomic density matrix. For $\alpha = \alpha'$ they determine the populations of the excited states, and for $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ they determine the coherence between them. The components $\rho_{\alpha \alpha'}$ determine the coherence between the excited and ground states. We find the components of the atomic density matrix from the steady-state solution of Eq. (4) in Ref. 8. The relations (10) and (11) are investigated by Avan and Cohen-Tannoudji in Ref. 12.

It should be noted that since the lower level in our atomic subsystem corresponds to the ground state, two components can be identified in the fluorescence spectra: an elastic, or unshifted, component $S$, proportional to $\delta(v)$, and an inelastic component $S'$, which describes scattering with a change in photon frequency. The expressions for $S$ and $S'$ take the form
\[ S = 2 N(g_{\alpha+} p_{\alpha+} - g_{\alpha-} p_{\alpha-}) \delta(v), \tag{12} \]
\[ S' = 2 N(g_{\alpha+} p_{\alpha+} + g_{\alpha-} p_{\alpha-}) \delta(v), \tag{13} \]
where $N$ is the number of scattering atoms, $\delta(v)$ is the Dirac delta function, and the $g_{\alpha}$ are coupling constants.

3. EFFECT OF STIMULATED PROCESSES ON THE TOTAL INTENSITY OF THE HANLE SIGNAL

3.1. Effect of the cavity

We consider the experimental situation depicted in Fig. 1. We assume that the scattering system is located in a high-$Q$ Fabry–Perot cavity oriented along the $y$ or $z$ axis. The scattered radiation is selected by the spectral modes of the cavity and then measured with an external detector. We assume that the width of the intracavity modes is large enough compared to the total width of the fluorescence spectra that it does not affect the form of the modes. When the atoms are irradiated by a laser, the number of photons rises to some steady-state value. This state is described by the steady-state solution of Eq. (1):
\[ n = -D^{-1} A. \tag{14} \]
Substituting this solution into Eqs. (2) and (3), we obtain expressions for the numbers of photons $n_\alpha$ and $n_\beta$. The effect of a cavity on the spectra of these quantities is investigated in Ref. 8. The total intensity of the Hanle signal is determined by (8). Note that the spontaneous sources in Eq. (2) contain two components, elastic and inelastic. We therefore represent $N'_S$ in the form
\[ N'_S = N'^{el}_S + N'^{inel}_S, \tag{15} \]
where the first term is calculated with the spontaneous sources $\sigma_{\alpha \alpha'}$, and the second is calculated with $\sigma_{\alpha \alpha'}^{inel}$.

Since $N'^{inel}_S \approx \delta(v)$, $N'^{el}_S$ can be determined quite simply after the corresponding integration has been performed. For the present paper, the $N'^{el}_S$ were calculated numerically with a personal computer.

Figure 2 displays $S$, as a function of the cavity $Q$ (magnitude of the magnetic field) for a high-$Q$ cavity (solid curve, $q=0.05$) and a low-$Q$ cavity (dashed curve, $q=1$) for various parameter values: a) $V=10$, $\Delta_1=0$; b) $V=10$, $\Delta_1/\gamma=10$; c) $V=3$, $\Delta_1/\gamma=10$. The peak values of $S$, are normalized to unity.

One can see from Fig. 2 that the strongest effect of a high value of $Q$ on the line profile is observed at $\Delta_1$, and that the effect is modest at small (\Delta_1/\gamma) or large (\Delta_1/\gamma) mismatches. Our analysis shows that in weak fields (\gamma<<\gamma), the cavity $Q$ has only a minor effect on the line profile and total intensity of the Hanle signal.
3.2. Propagation effects

We now consider the scattering of the pump wave by an optically thick atomic beam (no cavity). The propagation of the scattered radiation is described by the system of equations (1). In the form given here, this system affords us no opportunity for a convenient analytic solution. We therefore write the system in a more symmetric form, for which we introduce the matrix

\[
\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_+ & \beta_+ \\
\beta_- & \alpha_-
\end{pmatrix},
\]

Equation (2) then takes the form

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \Sigma = K \Sigma + 2K^T \Lambda,
\]

where

\[
K = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_+ & \beta_+ \\
\beta_- & \alpha_-
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix}
\mathbb{I} & \mathbb{I} \\
-\mathbb{I} & -\mathbb{I}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Here we allow for the characteristics of four-wave interaction associated with the phase-matching condition

\[
(k_x - k_y + k_z - k_x) a = 0,
\]

which in the present geometry takes the form

\[
(k_x - k_y + k_z - k_x) a = 0.
\]

In this equation, \( i \) is \( y \) or \( z \), depending on the direction of photon propagation. One can see from this expression that the mismatch \( \Delta k = k_x - k_y \) strongly affects the propagation of the scattered photons. Transforming from total to partial derivatives by means of the substitution \( d(\Sigma) = \Sigma d \)\( t + c(\Sigma d) \), we find the steady-state solution of Eq. (17):

\[
\Sigma = \exp(\hat{K} \mathcal{I}) \Sigma (0) \left[ \exp(\hat{K} \mathcal{I}) \right]^T
\]

where \( \mathcal{I} \) is the transpose size of the atomic beam and \( \hat{K} = K / c \). The first term in this expression describes the homogeneous solution, for which the vacuum states \( \Sigma(0) = I / 2 \) can be taken as the initial condition. The propagation of the scattered radiation itself is described by the second term, which determines the quantity of interest, \( N \).

The details of the calculation of (20) can be found in Ref. 8. We find \( N \) by means of the computational method described above [see Eq. (15)].

Figure 3 displays the line profile \( S \), as a function of magnetic field strength (in the form of the parameter \( \Omega / \gamma \)) for various magnetic field intensities and offsets of the pump wave from resonance for an optically thick atomic beam with exact phase matching (\( \Delta = 0 \)).

One can see from Fig. 3 that propagation effects (stimulated processes) substantially change the line profile of the total Hanle signal. It is pointed out in Refs. 7 and 8 that in strong fields the inelastic component dominates the spectrum of the Hanle signal. Our analysis for an optically thick atomic beam shows that the total Hanle signal is then also determined by inelastic scattering, i.e., by \( N^\text{el} \). In weak fields, the elastic component is the dominant one. For the total Hanle signal, however, the ratio of the elastic and inelastic scattering contributions (correspondingly, the ratio between \( N^\text{el} \) and \( N^\text{in} \)) in an optically thick medium depends strongly on the length of the medium. If the elastic component dominates for short lengths, then as the optical depth increases, \( N^\text{el} \) becomes of the order of \( N^\text{in} \), which is demonstrated in Fig. 4, and as the optical depth of the medium increases further, the inelastic scattering component \( N^\text{in} \) becomes the dominant one. This effect can be tested experimentally relatively simply, and it makes it possible to investigate the effect of stimulated processes on the properties of the Hanle signal.

The effect of a phase mismatch on \( N \), is demonstrated in Fig. 5. As pointed out in Ref. 8, the formal calculation of...
FIG. 4. \( N \) (a), \( N' \) (b), and \( N \), (c) as functions of \( \Omega \) for \( V_{\perp} = 0.1 \), \( A_{\perp} = 0 \), \( \alpha_{\perp} = 100 \), and \( \Delta \kappa = 0 \).

These plots for fixed \( \Delta \kappa \neq 0 \) is an ill-posed problem, since the difference in the phase velocity of the waves leads to unphysical results in the limit \( \Omega \to 0 \). In the present work, the mismatch \( \Delta \kappa \) is modeled by the expression

\[
\Delta \kappa = \frac{\chi \text{Im}(\alpha_+ - \alpha_-)}{\Omega^2 + \gamma^2}. \tag{21}
\]

This expression is not, in general, a solution of the dispersion relation for scattered waves (Eq. (45) in Ref. 8). A calculation of \( N \) using (21) is primarily illustrative. The constant \( \chi \) in Eq. (21) was chosen by requiring that \( n, \) be greatest maximum at the peaks of its spectral distribution, and that the function in the denominator of this expression also optimize \( \Delta \kappa \) for various values of the magnetic field. One can see from Fig. 5 that the mismatch strongly influences not only the absolute value of \( N \), but also its line profile. We call attention especially to the large change in the width of the dip in the line profile in the presence of a phase mismatch, while according to Fig. 3 for \( \Delta \kappa = 0 \) this width changes little as the optical depth of the medium increases.

An experimental test of this effect could make it possible to clarify the role of four-wave mixing in the generation of the Hanle signal in optically thick media.

4. COMPARISON OF RESULTS FOR VOIGT AND FARADAY GEOMETRIES

The investigation presented above, and the results obtained in Refs. 7 and 8, refer to the properties of the scattered radiation, first, for the Voigt geometry (see Fig. 1) and second, perpendicular to the propagation direction of the pump wave. The polarization structure of the radiation scattered at an angle to the pump direction (neglecting thermal motion of the atoms) is governed by Eqs. (4) and (5). In Ref. 10 the scattered radiation was described in the Faraday geometry, i.e., with the magnetic field parallel to pump wave propagation. The equations for the scattered radiation are formally identical to the equations for the Voigt geometry. To obtain the polarization structure of the scattered radiation in the Faraday geometry, we interchange the \( x \) and \( z \) axes in Fig. 1: the magnetic field will then be directed along the \( z \) axis. Then Eqs. (4) and (5) will be the desired equations in this geometry as well. The distribution of the scattered radiation will therefore be identical in the two cases, to within a rotation of \( 90^\circ \) about the \( y \) axis (this is associated with the rotation of the quantization axis).

The agreement obtained between the results (to within the indicated rotation) is not complete. The main difference arises in forward scattering, and is due to the differing interactions of the pump wave with the medium in these geometries. The Hamiltonians describing the interactions are formally identical, but the expansion of the initial wave into \( \sigma \) components has a different physical import. In the Faraday geometry these are left- and right-hand circularly polarized waves, while in the Voigt geometry they are linearly polarized waves. Their effect on the atoms is the same, however: they excite \( \sigma \) transitions of the atoms in like manner. Only the spatial orientations of the dipoles are different. The agreement between the scattering results is therefore understandable.

We now consider forward scattering. In Ref. 10 it is shown that in the Faraday geometry, correlation functions \( \langle \sigma_{\pm} \sigma_{\pm} \rangle \) and \( \langle \sigma_{\pm} \sigma_{\mp} \rangle \) arise, which correspond to four-wave mixing in the forward direction:
Four-wave mixing can be implemented for photons with either the same or different polarizations, and the process is nondegenerate: \(2\omega_{0} = \omega + \omega\), where \(\omega\) and \(\omega\) are the frequencies of photons with wave vectors \(k_{p}\) and \(k_{p}\), respectively. The dynamics of the scattered radiation is described by the system of equations (18) in Ref. 10. These equations also describe forward scattering in the Voigt geometry, but the sense of the operators \(a_{\sigma}(\omega)\) is different. Whereas in the Faraday geometry they describe annihilation (creation) of circularly polarized photons—left- or right-hand polarization—in the Voigt geometry they describe only linear polarization identical with the polarization of the pump wave, and they differ only in their interactions with the atomic transitions. In the latter case, no scattered radiation polarized orthogonally with respect to the polarization of the pump wave appears in the forward direction [see Eq. (6), while in the Faraday geometry it does arise and is described by Eq. (2)]. We call it the Hanle signal \(S_{Br}^{(1)}\) in the forward direction. Then the total signal is

\[ S_{Br} = S_{Br}^{(1)} + S_{Br}^{(2)}, \]

where \(S_{Br}\) comes from the change in polarization properties of the pump wave as it passes through the medium. This change arises for two reasons: the well-known rotation of the polarization plane resulting from the differing dispersion of left- and right-hand polarized waves, and the differing absorption of these waves. Since \(S_{Br}^{(1)} = I_{c-} + I_{c+}\), it follows from Maxwell’s equations for the slowly varying amplitudes that

\[ \frac{\partial I_{c-}}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial I_{c+}}{\partial z} + \tau \epsilon_{c}. \]

These expressions differ from the expressions (9) for the A-type configuration pertinent to the \(J=0\) transition. The condition (27) shows that there are no interference effects (for example, level crossing with the corresponding correlation functions \((a_{\sigma}^{\dagger}a_{\sigma}^{\dagger})\), \((a_{\sigma}a_{\sigma})\), and \((a_{\sigma}a_{\sigma})\)).

\[ \int \mathcal{A}_{\sigma} d\varphi = 0 \quad (\sigma \neq \sigma), \]

\[ \int \mathcal{A}_{\sigma} d\varphi = \rho_{00}. \]

where \(\rho_{00}\) is the population of the upper state (with \(J=0\)).

5. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE HANLE EFFECT FOR THE \(J=1 \rightarrow J=0\) TRANSITION

The approach developed in Refs. 7, 8, and 10 to describe the resonance scattering of a monochromatic wave is a general approach when viewed in terms of the structure of the scattered radiation. Actual results depend on the form of the A and B coefficients, which are determined by the properties of the medium. In both the present work and in Refs. 7, 8, and 10, an interaction with the \(J=0 \rightarrow J=1\) transition was investigated, involving a \(V\)-type interaction between the radiation and atoms.

To extend these results to resonant scattering of a wave involving transitions with arbitrary angular momentum in the upper and lower states, it is of interest to investigate the A-type configuration pertinent to the \(J=1 \rightarrow J=0\) transition. Our analysis of the scattering of a monochromatic wave in this transition (the computational details will be published in a forthcoming paper) shows that the characteristics of the scattered radiation are substantially different from the results obtained for the \(J=0 \rightarrow J=1\) transition. This has primarily to do with spontaneous sources for the correlation functions \((a_{\sigma}^{\dagger}a_{\sigma}^{\dagger})\), which describe interference processes:

Finally, we mention that for the (axisymmetric) Faraday geometry, the polarization vector of the pump wave can be arbitrarily oriented in the \(xy\) plane with axes fixed. Moreover, the foregoing description holds for an arbitrarily polarized pump, i.e., it may be that \(|E_{x}| = |E_{y}|\). In contrast, the Voigt geometry does not possess this symmetry, and the corresponding results hold only for the situation displayed in Fig. 1. If, however, the pump wave is polarized at some angle to the \(y\) axis, then our description will no longer be correct, since the field will then have a nonvanishing \(z\) component that will induce \(\pi\) transitions. The description of the structure of the scattered radiation will also change: in addition to the operators \(a_{\sigma}\), we also have operators \(a_{\sigma}\), along with the corresponding correlation functions \((a_{\sigma}^{\dagger}a_{\sigma}^{\dagger})\), \((a_{\sigma}a_{\sigma})\), and \((a_{\sigma}a_{\sigma})\).
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