

is the mass of the α particle, and U is the depth of the potential well.

Let us evaluate the exponent of Eq. (1) with $\tau_\alpha = 10^{-22}$ sec and for an energy E equal to the height of the Coulomb barrier U_C ; we shall treat nuclei in which U is known. For C^{12} nuclei,⁵ $U \approx 11$ Mev. For different nuclei the distance l can be chosen about equal to the α -particle diameter. For C^{12} we have $l \approx R = 1.4 \times 10^{-13} A^{1/3}$ cm and $U_C \approx 4$ Mev. With these assumptions the exponent for C^{12} is 1.2. For silver nuclei, we again set $E = U_C$ and assume that $l(\text{Ag}) = l(C^{12})$ and $U(\text{Ag}) \approx U(C^{12})$; the exponent is then -0.8 .

These values of the exponents indicate that if $\tau_\alpha = 10^{-22}$ sec, the α -particle spectrum given by (1) should be measurably weakened in the energy region around $E = U_C$.

The situation changes drastically if τ_α is actually somewhat less than 10^{-22} sec. A lifetime smaller by a factor of 2 or 2.5 is sufficient to decrease the exponent for C^{12} , for instance, to 0.05 for $E = U_C$. Then for this energy there should be practically no α -particles knocked out, and they should appear in measurable quantities only for $E \geq E_{\alpha \text{ eff}} > U_C$.

Now 10^{-22} sec is the time it takes a 20-Mev nucleon in the nucleus to pass entirely through a C^{12} nucleus. It is very probable that internal α -particles can be destroyed in collisions with fast nuclei located in their vicinity when they are formed. There is therefore reason to suppose that τ_α is considerably less than 10^{-22} sec. If this is so, experiment should observe almost the complete absence of α particles knocked out in the energy region $U_C(A) < E < E_{\alpha \text{ eff}}$. An experimental determination of $E_{\alpha \text{ eff}}$ could be used to estimate τ_α .

It should be noted that this effect is more probably observable for nuclei with A around 12 or 20 than for nuclei with A around 100, since there may be quite a large number of α particles produced in the latter in a shell with low l .

Deuteron knockout will be observed if τ_d is less than τ_α , for if we consider deuterons with energy $E = U_C$ and set $U \approx 30$ Mev,⁶ $l(d) = l(\alpha)$, and $\tau_d = 10^{-22}$ sec, the exponent in Eq. (1) becomes -0.6 .

¹ P. Cüer and J. Combe, *J. phys. et radium* **16**, 29 (1955).

² J. Combe, *J. phys. et radium* **16**, 445 (1955).

³ Cüer, Combe, and Samman, *Compt. rend.* **240**, 75, 1527 (1955).

⁴ J. Combe, *Suppl. No. 2, Nuovo cimento* **3**, 182 (1956).

⁵ A. Samman, *Compt. rend.* **242**, 2232, 3062 (1956).

⁶ Azhgirei, Vzorov, Zrelow, Meshcheriakov, Neganov, and Shabudin, *J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.)* **33**, 1185 (1957), *Soviet Phys. JETP* **6**, 1911 (1958).

Translated by E. J. Saletan
157

NONLOCAL EFFECTS IN WEAK INTERACTIONS OF FERMIONS

S. G. MATINIAN

Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences,
Georgian SSR

Submitted to JETP editor May 23, 1958

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) **35**, 791-793
(September, 1958)

RECENTLY Lee and Yang¹ have studied the non-local four-Fermion interactions as applied to μ decay. Phenomenologically these interactions can be described using a Lagrangian corresponding to the interaction of pairs of fermions separated by a space-like interval of the order of 10^{-13} to 10^{-14} cm.

The present communication gives a similar treatment of nonlocal effects in μ^- capture by a proton. The neutrino is described by the two-component theory.²⁻⁴

1. The nonlocal Lagrangian for the interaction which gives rise to the $\mu^- + p \rightarrow n + \nu$ reaction is

$$L = \sum_i g_i \int [\bar{\psi}_n(x) O_i \psi_p(x)] K_i(x-x') \times [\bar{\psi}_\nu(x') O_i \psi_\mu(x')] d^4x d^4x'; \psi_\nu = -\gamma_5 \psi_\nu. \quad (1)$$

In this expression the summation is taken over all possible S, V, T, P, and A couplings; the O_i are the appropriate Dirac matrices, and $K_i(x-x')$ is an invariant function of $x-x'$ which accounts for the nonlocal extension of the interaction. Assuming that the space-time extension of $K_i(x-x')$ is smaller than the inverse of the energy momentum transfer involved in the process, we can write

$$K_i(x-x') = \delta^4(x-x') + \frac{x_i}{m^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\lambda^2} \delta^4(x-x') + \dots, \quad (2)$$

$$(i = S, V, T, P, A; \hbar = c = 1),$$

where m is the mass of the μ meson, and $|\kappa_i/m^2|^{1/2}$ is the length characterizing the non-

local effect.* Using Eq. (2) and treating the case of the μ meson and proton at rest, we obtain

$$L = \sum_i g_i \int [1 + \kappa_i (1 - 2p_\nu/m)] [\bar{\psi}_n O_i \psi_p] [\bar{\psi}_\nu O_i \psi_\mu] d^4x, \quad (3)$$

where p_ν is the neutrino momentum.

From this we immediately obtain an expression for $1/\tau$, the probability of μ^- capture by hydrogen, and an expression for $w(\theta)$, the angular distribution of the neutrons in the capture of polarized μ^- mesons.⁶⁻⁸ These expressions are

$$1/\tau = p_\nu^2 \zeta / 2\pi^2 a^3, \quad w(\theta) = 1 + \alpha \cos \theta, \quad (4)$$

where a is the Bohr radius of the muonium atom, θ is the angle between the spin of the μ^- meson and the neutron momentum, and

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= |\hat{f}_S + \hat{f}_V|^2 + 3|\hat{f}_A + \hat{f}_T|^2, \\ \alpha \xi &= -|\hat{f}_S + \hat{f}_V|^2 + |\hat{f}_A + \hat{f}_T|^2, \\ \hat{f}_i &= g_i [1 + \kappa_i (1 - 2p_\nu/m)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

For the $\mu^- + p \rightarrow n + \bar{\nu}$ reaction, ξ by ξ' , and $\alpha \xi$ are replaced by $-\alpha' \xi'$.

2. Let us assume the existence of a universal AV interaction.⁹ As is known, it is then possible to choose the coupling constant G for β decay so as to obtain excellent agreement with experiment for the μ meson lifetime.

It is easily shown, however, that nonlocal effects in β decay are quite negligible. If such effects actually exist, they should be observed in μ decay, by a definite change in the coupling constant.

For the universal AV interaction in μ decay, Feynman and Gell-Mann take the expression

$$S^{1/2} G (\bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma_\lambda a \psi_\nu) (\bar{\psi}_\nu \gamma_\lambda a \psi_e), \quad (6)$$

where $a\psi$ is a two-component wave function, and $G = (1.01 \pm 0.01) 10^{-5}/M^2$ (where M is the mass of the nucleon). The μ -meson lifetime is then given by

$$1/\tau_\mu = G^2 m^5 / 192\pi^3.$$

The nonlocal interaction corresponding to (6), namely

$$S^{1/2} G (\bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma_\lambda a \psi_\nu(x)) K(x-x') (\bar{\psi}_\nu \gamma_\lambda a \psi_e(x')) \quad (7)$$

(this corresponds to Lee and Yang's¹ Lagrangian L_{II}) gives

$$1/\tau_\mu = (G^2 m^5 / 192\pi^3) (1 + 3/5 \bar{\zeta}_2),$$

for the μ -meson lifetime, where ζ_2 is a param-

eter characterizing the nonlocal effects, introduced by Lee and Yang.¹ Bearing in mind the experimental uncertainty in the determination of G , we obtain an upper limit for $|\bar{\zeta}_2|$ compatible with the universality of G . This is

$$|\bar{\zeta}_2| \leq 0.07. \quad (8)$$

Lee and Yang (using the nonlocal Lagrangian L_{II}) have found the value of $\bar{\zeta}_2$ for which the two-component theory will give a Michel parameter ρ in agreement with experiment. This value is $\bar{\zeta}_2 = -0.21$, which is too large by a factor of three.

It should be noted that if the nonlocal effects (with $\bar{\zeta}_2 > 0$) are attributed to the propagation of a heavy virtual particle, its mass M_0 must, according to (8), satisfy the inequality $M_0 \geq \sqrt{14} m$.

The formulas given in Sec. 1 for the nonlocal interaction in the capture of a μ^- meson by a proton may be useful in establishing the magnitude of κ , which characterizes the length involved in the nonlocal effects, if there exists a universal AV interaction.

Radiative μ^- capture ($\mu^- + p \rightarrow n + \nu + \gamma$) may in general be helpful in establishing κ_1 .

In conclusion, I take this opportunity to express my gratitude to Professor G. R. Khutsishvili for interest in the work and to Iu. G. Mamaladze for discussion of the results.

*We note that if the nonlocal effects are assumed to be caused by virtual π mesons, the capture probabilities obtained fail to agree with experiment.⁵

¹T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1611 (1957).

²L. Landau, Nuclear Phys. **3**, 127 (1957).

³A. Salam, Nuovo cimento **5**, 299 (1957).

⁴T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **105**, 1671 (1957).

⁵J. Lopes, Phys. Rev. **109**, 509 (1958).

⁶B. L. Ioffe, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) **33**, 308 (1957), Soviet Phys. JETP **6**, 240 (1958).

⁷Shapiro, Dolinsky, and Blokhintsev, Nuclear Phys. **4**, 273 (1957).

⁸Huang, Yang, and Lee, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1340 (1957).

⁹R. P. Feynman and M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. **109**, 193 (1958).